JOURNAL OF ECONOMICATE STUDIES
https://journal.islamicateinstitute.co.id/index.php/joes

Product Innovation, Technology Utilization, and Global Market Competitiveness

Aditya Rahayu Pradikta
UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung
adityarpradikta@gmail.com

Kadar Nurzaman
UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung
kadarzoom@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates the synergistic relationship between product innovation, technology adoption, and global market competitiveness, with a focus on emerging economies like Indonesia. Using a qualitative approach grounded in document and thematic analysis, the research explores how firms integrate digital technologies to enhance innovation outcomes and gain strategic advantages. The theoretical foundation draws from the Innovation Systems Approach, Dynamic Capabilities Framework, and Schumpeterian Competition Theory. Findings reveal that technology acts as a critical enabler of product innovation, while innovation itself is a key driver of firm-level competitiveness. However, systemic constraints—such as fragmented policy frameworks, inadequate R&D investment, and limited human capital—hinder broader innovation capacity in emerging contexts. The study offers a conceptual model that synthesizes innovation, technological infrastructure, and institutional dynamics, contributing both theoretical insights and actionable recommendations for businesses and policymakers aiming to strengthen competitiveness through innovation-led strategies.

Keywords: *Product Innovation, Technology Adoption, Global Competitiveness, Innovation Systems; Emerging Economies.*

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving landscape of the global economy, the confluence of product innovation and technological advancement has emerged as a defining feature of competitive success. The dynamics of globalization, characterized by market liberalization and accelerated digital transformation, demand that firms consistently innovate to remain relevant (OECD, 2021). Innovation in product development has become a central strategy to address diverse consumer preferences and respond to international competition. The integration of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things (IoT), and big data analytics has revolutionized the manner in which firms create value and compete globally (Chesbrough, 2020). Consequently, understanding the nexus between product innovation, technology adoption, and market competitiveness is crucial for sustainable economic development.

Product innovation is not solely about introducing new products but encompasses improvements in design, features, functionality, and performance (Trott, 2017, p. 42). Technological advancement acts as both a facilitator and an enabler of this innovation process. Empirical studies underscore how digital tools enhance organizational agility and streamline research and development processes (Pisano, 2015). Furthermore, technology shortens innovation cycles and facilitates rapid prototyping, enabling quicker adaptation to market shifts (West & Bogers, 2017). This interdependence between technology and innovation becomes even more salient in the global market, where firms must continuously upgrade their offerings to gain a competitive edge.

The theoretical significance of this topic is anchored in the innovation systems perspective, which views innovation as the outcome of interactions among institutions, firms, and technologies (Lundvall, 2010, p. 121). From an empirical standpoint, numerous studies illustrate that countries and firms investing in R&D, knowledge management, and ICT infrastructure tend to outperform others in global competitiveness indices (Porter, 2008; Schwab, 2020). In the Indonesian context, the Ministry of National Development Planning (BAPPENAS) has underscored innovation and technology as key pillars for Indonesia's Vision 2045. Nonetheless, significant barriers persist, including low digital literacy, inadequate infrastructure, and fragmented innovation policies (World Bank, 2020). Thus, exploring how product innovation and technology interplay with global competition is both timely and relevant.

While there is a wealth of literature on innovation and technology independently, there remains a research gap in integrating these concepts with the nuanced realities of global market dynamics, particularly in emerging economies. Many prior studies adopt isolated views that either prioritize technological diffusion or focus narrowly on firm-level innovation strategies (Fagerberg, 2018). This study aims to bridge this gap by providing a holistic analysis that connects innovation practices, technological adoption, and competitive pressures in globalized settings. The contextual emphasis on Indonesia further enriches the discussion by examining how an emerging economy navigates these complex interrelationships.

Accordingly, this study addresses three interrelated research questions: First, how does the adoption of technology influence the process and outcomes of product innovation? Second, in what ways does product innovation contribute to firm competitiveness in global markets? Third, how can emerging economies, particularly Indonesia, leverage innovation and technology to enhance their global competitiveness? The study aims to provide theoretical insight and practical implications by answering these questions through a comprehensive literature-based analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The relationship between product innovation, technological advancement, and market competition has garnered extensive scholarly attention. Product innovation, traditionally defined as the introduction of new or significantly improved goods or services, is now increasingly seen through the lens of digital transformation and globalization (Schilling, 2020, p. 77). Scholars emphasize that innovation is not merely a technical function but a strategic organizational process influenced by market forces and technological capabilities (Tidd & Bessant, 2018, p. 93). In this context, technology adoption acts as a catalyst for innovation, enhancing not only production efficiency but also market adaptability and customer responsiveness (Dodgson, Gann, & Salter, 2008). The interaction between innovation and competition has also been studied within evolutionary economics, suggesting that innovation is both a response to and a driver of competitive dynamics (Nelson & Winter, 1982, p. 212).

A critical framework in understanding innovation within global markets is the Dynamic Capabilities Theory, which posits that organizations must develop capabilities to sense, seize, and transform in response to environmental changes (Teece, Pisano, & Shuen, 1997). This theory aligns with the innovation systems perspective, which underscores institutional and infrastructural influences on innovation performance (Lundvall, 2010, p. 126). Moreover, open innovation models advocate for collaborative networks between firms, governments, and research institutions to foster innovation ecosystems (Chesbrough, 2020). Previous empirical studies have highlighted that firms with strong digital infrastructures and R&D investments tend to outperform others in competitive international environments (Hitt, Ireland, & Hoskisson, 2017, p. 189). Within the Indonesian context, studies in Sinta-indexed journals confirm the challenges of fragmented innovation policies and limited collaboration among stakeholders (Rohmah, 2019).

Despite these contributions, existing literature often treats technological adoption and product innovation as separate phenomena. There remains limited integrated analysis that contextualizes these within the competitive pressures of global markets, especially for emerging economies. This study, therefore, aims to synthesize these dimensions into a coherent analytical framework to better understand how innovation and technology jointly shape competitive outcomes.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is anchored in three interrelated models: the Innovation Systems Approach, the Dynamic Capabilities Framework, and Schumpeterian Competition Theory. Together, these theories provide a multidimensional lens for analyzing how product innovation and technology intersect within the global competitive environment.

The Innovation Systems Approach posits that innovation is the result of complex interactions among various institutional actors—governments, firms, universities, and intermediary organizations (Lundvall, 2010, p. 132). This framework emphasizes that innovation does not occur in isolation but is shaped by national, regional, and sectoral dynamics. In this system, the role of government is pivotal in creating enabling environments through policies, funding, and infrastructure development (Freeman, 1995). The innovation system's efficacy depends on the quality of interactions and knowledge flows between actors. For emerging economies such as Indonesia, weak coordination among stakeholders often impedes innovation outcomes (World Bank, 2020).

The Dynamic Capabilities Framework provides insight into how firms adapt and respond to external pressures, especially in volatile environments like global markets. According to Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997), dynamic capabilities refer to a firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies. These capabilities are essential for fostering product innovation, as they enable firms to sense technological trends, seize opportunities, and reconfigure resources. Eisenhardt and Martin (2000) further argue that dynamic capabilities are identifiable and replicable processes that firms can develop to enhance adaptability and innovation readiness. This theory is particularly relevant in the context of rapid technological changes that necessitate constant innovation cycles.

Schumpeterian Competition Theory adds a historical-economic dimension to the discussion. Joseph Schumpeter (1942) introduced the concept of “creative destruction,” where innovation disrupts existing market structures and creates new competitive advantages. From this perspective, innovation is inherently linked to competition—it is both a response to competitive pressure and a mechanism for market dominance. Firms that fail to innovate risk obsolescence, especially in globalized sectors where new entrants can rapidly scale through digital platforms (Aghion et al., 2005). Schumpeter’s view aligns well with contemporary observations where leading firms invest heavily in R&D and technological infrastructure to maintain market leadership (Porter, 2008).

By combining these three frameworks, this study constructs a comprehensive model that situates product innovation and technological utilization within a larger system of institutional support, organizational capability, and market dynamics. This synthesis is particularly important in evaluating how emerging economies can leverage internal and external factors to enhance their global competitiveness through innovation.

Previous Research

In 2013, Dodgson, Gann, and Phillips conducted a seminal study exploring the role of technological innovation in shaping firm strategies across advanced economies. Using case studies from high-

tech sectors, the authors found that firms integrating digital tools into product development exhibited superior performance and adaptability. Their findings underscored the symbiotic relationship between innovation processes and organizational strategy (Dodgson, Gann, & Phillips, 2013).

Chesbrough (2014) expanded the discourse by introducing the open innovation paradigm, emphasizing the importance of external collaboration in the innovation process. His research demonstrated that firms engaging in cross-sectoral and international knowledge-sharing partnerships significantly enhanced their product development timelines and competitiveness. This perspective is critical for understanding how global collaboration fosters technological innovation.

In a study focusing on the Asia-Pacific region, Lee and Lim (2015) analyzed the effects of ICT investments on product innovation. Their econometric model, based on firm-level data, showed a strong correlation between ICT adoption and innovation output. However, they also identified institutional barriers that hindered technology diffusion, particularly in emerging economies with weak innovation infrastructure.

Pisano (2017) provided an in-depth analysis of how innovation capabilities can be systematically developed within organizations. He highlighted the importance of organizational learning, managerial commitment, and cross-functional integration. Pisano argued that sustainable competitive advantage stems from continuous investment in innovation capabilities rather than isolated technological acquisitions.

In 2018, a study by Fagerberg and Verspagen critiqued the uneven distribution of innovation benefits across countries. Their cross-national analysis found that while developed economies benefited from synergistic innovation systems, developing countries often faced systemic constraints, such as underfunded R&D and policy fragmentation. This research is especially relevant in examining Indonesia's challenges in enhancing innovation performance.
More recently, the World Bank (2020) published a report on Indonesia’s innovation landscape, revealing that despite policy initiatives, the country's innovation outputs remained below potential. The report cited low R&D spending, limited university-industry collaboration, and weak patenting culture as key barriers. These findings point to a persistent gap between policy intentions and innovation outcomes in Indonesia.

Despite the contributions of these studies, a common limitation remains: few have integrated the triadic relationship between product innovation, technology adoption, and global competitiveness into a cohesive framework. Most studies examine these variables in isolation or within narrowly defined contexts. Thus, this study fills a critical gap by synthesizing these dimensions and focusing on their intersection within emerging economies, particularly Indonesia.

METHOD

This study is grounded in qualitative data derived from textual and document-based sources. The choice of qualitative data allows for an in-depth exploration of the conceptual and contextual relationships between product innovation, technology adoption, and global competition. According to Creswell and Poth (2018, p. 87), qualitative research is suitable for investigating complex phenomena through interpretive and thematic analysis. In the context of this study, qualitative data enables the construction of nuanced insights into institutional dynamics, firm-level capabilities, and macroeconomic challenges.

The data used in this research originate from peer-reviewed international journal articles,

authoritative books, government publications, and Sinta-Garuda indexed Indonesian journal articles. These sources are selected for their academic rigor and relevance to the themes of innovation, technology, and competitiveness. The World Bank (2020), OECD (2021), and BPS–Statistics Indonesia are examples of institutional sources that provide comprehensive and up-to-date policy perspectives. Academic sources such as Tidd and Bessant (2018, p. 54), and Chesbrough (2020) offer foundational theories and empirical evidence essential for building the analytical framework.

The primary data collection method employed is document analysis. This technique involves the systematic review and interpretation of texts to extract relevant themes and theoretical constructs. As Bowen (2009) explains, document analysis is particularly useful in qualitative studies where the objective is to synthesize patterns across multiple sources. In this study, selected literature was categorized based on thematic relevance to the research questions and analytical framework. The use of purposive sampling ensured that only documents meeting the inclusion criteria—credibility, recency, and scholarly quality—were incorporated.

The data were analyzed using thematic analysis, which involves identifying, coding, and interpreting patterns within qualitative data. Braun and Clarke (2006) outline that thematic analysis allows researchers to construct thematic networks that illustrate relationships among concepts. In this research, coding was performed manually, focusing on three main categories: (1) drivers and barriers of product innovation, (2) technological integration processes, and (3) competitive positioning strategies. The analysis was iterative and reflexive, allowing themes to emerge organically while maintaining alignment with the theoretical framework.

Findings were synthesized through interpretive analysis, drawing connections between theoretical constructs and empirical insights. Miles, Huberman, and Saldaña (2014, p. 281) argue that conclusion drawing in qualitative research should be grounded in data triangulation and conceptual saturation. Accordingly, the results of this study reflect a comprehensive understanding of how innovation and technology collectively influence competitiveness in global markets. This interpretive synthesis not only answers the research questions but also contributes to theory-building and practical policy formulation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The interrelationship between product innovation, technological utilization, and global market competitiveness reveals a dynamic terrain shaped by institutional capacities, organizational capabilities, and policy frameworks. This study's findings affirm and extend the theoretical propositions discussed earlier, particularly those embedded in the Innovation Systems Approach, the Dynamic Capabilities Framework, and Schumpeterian Competition Theory. The synthesis of these frameworks provides a robust analytical lens for interpreting how firms and nations respond to global competition through innovation-led strategies.

Previous research has largely validated the role of dynamic capabilities in enabling firms to navigate rapidly changing markets. In this study, the data suggest that such capabilities are not uniformly distributed across firms or nations but are influenced by institutional factors such as education systems, regulatory frameworks, and investment environments (Tidd & Bessant, 2018, p. 102). For instance, Indonesia's fragmented innovation policy, though conceptually aligned with global standards, lacks the coherence and integration necessary for optimal performance (World Bank, 2020). This gap reinforces the importance of innovation systems as both analytical tools and policy guides.

Moreover, new scholarly perspectives uncovered during the course of this study highlight the

importance of innovation ecosystems—interdependent networks of actors that include government agencies, academic institutions, financial bodies, and private firms. Unlike earlier models that emphasized firm-centric innovation, contemporary research underscores the necessity of systemic coordination (Schilling, 2020, p. 129). These insights align with the empirical findings of Fagerberg and Verspagen (2018), who identified innovation asymmetries across regions due to systemic weaknesses in emerging economies.

This study contributes to the discourse by introducing a triadic analytical model that integrates product innovation, technological infrastructure, and competitive advantage. Such a model is especially relevant for economies like Indonesia, which are transitioning from resource-based to knowledge-driven development strategies. The results not only bridge the empirical gaps identified in earlier sections but also offer a framework for evaluating the innovation-readiness of firms and national economies in the global context

1. Technology as a Catalyst for Product Innovation

The first research question examined in this study explores how the adoption of technology influences the process and outcomes of product innovation. The findings reveal that technology acts as both an enabler and accelerator of innovation by enhancing firm capabilities in research, development, and market responsiveness. Digital platforms, artificial intelligence (AI), and cloud computing, for instance, have allowed companies to streamline product cycles and enhance customization (Porter & Heppelmann, 2015). These technologies provide real-time data and predictive analytics, enabling firms to anticipate consumer preferences and adjust designs accordingly.

The integration of advanced manufacturing technologies such as 3D printing and robotics has also revolutionized product development. These technologies reduce prototyping costs and time, allowing for faster iterations and improved product-market fit (Tidd & Bessant, 2018, p. 99). In Indonesia, sectors such as automotive and electronics have adopted such tools to improve productivity and meet export standards, though challenges remain in terms of infrastructure and human capital development (World Bank, 2020).

Technology adoption has also facilitated collaborative innovation. Through platforms like GitHub, Slack, and Microsoft Teams, multidisciplinary teams across borders can work simultaneously on product design and refinement. This global collaboration enhances creativity and introduces diverse perspectives into the innovation process (Chesbrough, 2020). Additionally, cloud-based storage and simulation tools allow firms to conduct product tests in virtual environments, significantly cutting down development time and reducing error margins (Schilling, 2020, p. 118).

Despite these benefits, technological adoption does not guarantee innovation. The study finds that organizational culture and leadership play critical roles in determining how effectively technology is leveraged. Firms with rigid hierarchical structures often fail to utilize technological tools to their full potential, limiting their innovation outcomes (Pisano, 2015). Conversely, companies that promote learning cultures and experimentation tend to derive greater value from their technological investments (Eisenhardt & Martin, 2000).

In the Indonesian context, the limited diffusion of Industry 4.0 technologies among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) is a significant barrier. Research by the Ministry of Industry (Kemenperin, 2019) shows that although awareness of technology benefits is growing, access to funding, training, and infrastructure is still limited. As a result, many SMEs remain stuck in traditional production methods, limiting their potential for innovation and

competitiveness in global markets.

Furthermore, institutional support plays a mediating role. Countries with coherent digital policies, innovation incentives, and R&D funding mechanisms tend to experience more robust technology-driven innovation ecosystems (OECD, 2021). Indonesia's fragmented support system, marked by overlapping initiatives and lack of coordination, hinders the systemic adoption of innovation-friendly technologies (BAPPENAS, 2020). Therefore, strengthening these institutional frameworks is critical for enabling technology to act as a true catalyst for product innovation.

The synthesis of theoretical and empirical insights thus confirms that technology adoption significantly enhances product innovation when complemented by organizational readiness and institutional support. This finding addresses the first research question by highlighting the conditional yet powerful influence of technology on innovation trajectories.

2. Product Innovation as a Driver of Global Competitiveness

The second research question investigates how product innovation contributes to firm competitiveness in global markets. The findings affirm that innovative products, especially those designed with customer-centric and technologically advanced features, significantly enhance a firm's market position internationally. Companies that continuously innovate are more likely to penetrate new markets, build brand equity, and differentiate themselves from competitors (Porter, 2008). Innovation introduces novelty that creates consumer appeal, thereby reducing price sensitivity and fostering customer loyalty.

This competitive advantage is evident in multinational corporations like Samsung and Apple, which have institutionalized innovation as a strategic asset. Their investments in R&D and rapid innovation cycles exemplify how continuous product refinement sustains global dominance (Schilling, 2020, p. 91). In Indonesia, innovative firms in the digital economy—such as Gojek and Tokopedia—have shown how local adaptation combined with innovative digital services can create global partnerships and attract international capital (World Bank, 2020).

From a theoretical perspective, Schumpeter's notion of "creative destruction" explains why firms that fail to innovate lose relevance. In rapidly evolving markets, innovation allows firms to disrupt incumbents and establish new industry standards (Schumpeter, 1942). This principle is validated in sectors like fintech and e-commerce, where startups have overtaken traditional firms by introducing innovative business models and superior customer experiences. The empirical data suggests that innovation is no longer optional but a prerequisite for survival and growth in global markets (Teece et al., 1997).

In addition to product differentiation, innovation drives cost efficiency and operational excellence. Advanced technologies integrated into product design—such as embedded sensors and remote monitoring features—enhance functionality while reducing maintenance costs (Porter & Heppelmann, 2015). These innovations add value to the consumer while optimizing supply chains and after-sales services, giving firms a strategic edge. Studies have shown that firms leveraging innovation for both differentiation and cost leadership tend to outperform rivals in international trade metrics (Lee & Lim, 2015).

In Indonesia, however, the capacity to innovate remains uneven across sectors. Manufacturing industries often lack the R&D infrastructure and skilled workforce necessary to produce export-competitive products. According to BPS–Statistics Indonesia (2020), only

a fraction of Indonesian firms engage in systematic product development, with most relying on imitation or marginal modifications. This stagnation limits their ability to compete globally, especially against firms from innovation-driven economies like South Korea, Germany, or Japan.

Government policy plays a crucial role in amplifying the impact of innovation on competitiveness. Innovation hubs, tax incentives, and collaborative research programs can stimulate firm-level innovation. Countries like Singapore and Finland have successfully used such policy tools to enhance national competitiveness (OECD, 2021). Indonesia's roadmap for Industry 4.0 includes similar provisions, but implementation challenges—such as limited coordination and insufficient funding—dilute their effectiveness (Kemenperin, 2019).

The data reveal that while product innovation is a powerful lever for global competitiveness, its effectiveness depends on the convergence of firm capabilities, market conditions, and policy environments. Thus, the answer to the second research question lies in recognizing innovation as a multi-dimensional driver of competitive advantage, deeply influenced by internal strategies and external supports.

3. Leveraging Innovation and Technology for National Competitiveness in Emerging Economies

The third research question focuses on how emerging economies—particularly Indonesia—can leverage innovation and technology to strengthen their competitiveness in global markets. The findings of this study indicate that while innovation and technological adoption are essential tools for global integration, their successful deployment requires systemic alignment across multiple domains: institutional frameworks, human capital, infrastructure, and regulatory support (Tidd & Bessant, 2018, p. 122). Emerging economies often struggle not due to lack of ideas or entrepreneurship, but because of fragmented systems that fail to support innovation at scale (Fagerberg & Verspagen, 2018).

Indonesia exemplifies this challenge. Despite its demographic advantages and growing digital economy, the country's innovation ecosystem remains underdeveloped. According to the World Bank (2020), Indonesia's gross domestic expenditure on R&D (GERD) is below 0.3% of GDP, significantly lower than the OECD average of 2.4%. This gap underscores the structural limitations that hinder the translation of innovation policy into tangible economic outcomes. The lack of sustained investment in science and technology not only limits product innovation but also prevents firms from upgrading to higher value-added activities in global value chains.

To overcome these barriers, institutional coordination is essential. The National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN) has taken steps to centralize and integrate research efforts across ministries, universities, and industries. However, as recent evaluations show, its effectiveness is still constrained by bureaucratic inertia, unclear mandates, and limited inter-agency collaboration (BAPPENAS, 2020). The literature supports the view that innovation thrives in ecosystems where institutions are synergistic, transparent, and mission-oriented (Mazzucato, 2018). Therefore, institutional reform is a critical pathway for enhancing Indonesia's innovation capacity.

Education and human capital development also play pivotal roles in supporting innovation-led growth. Studies have found a strong correlation between STEM education, digital skills, and a country's capacity to absorb and apply technology (Schilling, 2020, p. 147). In Indonesia, the curriculum reforms under the "Merdeka Belajar" program aim to address

these gaps, but systemic challenges—such as uneven access to quality education and underinvestment in technical training—persist (BPS–Statistics Indonesia, 2020). Without a skilled workforce, technological tools cannot be effectively utilized for product innovation, limiting the nation's ability to compete in sophisticated global sectors.

Moreover, policy incentives must be strategically targeted to stimulate private sector innovation. Tax incentives for R&D, innovation grants, and public procurement programs can lower the risk for firms investing in new product development. Countries like Malaysia and Vietnam have made considerable strides by aligning innovation policies with industrial priorities (OECD, 2021). Indonesia can draw lessons from these peers by ensuring that innovation policies are sector-specific, performance-based, and inclusive of SMEs, which constitute the bulk of the economy.

Finally, global partnerships offer a crucial avenue for building innovation capacity. Through foreign direct investment (FDI), technology transfer agreements, and research collaborations, emerging economies can access advanced knowledge and infrastructure. However, such partnerships must be embedded within national strategies to ensure they result in capacity-building rather than dependency (Chesbrough, 2020). Indonesia's participation in regional innovation initiatives under ASEAN and bilateral programs with countries like Japan and Germany offer promising models that should be scaled and institutionalized.

In summary, the third research question is addressed by outlining a roadmap that integrates institutional reform, human capital development, strategic policymaking, and international collaboration. These elements must work together to enable Indonesia—and other emerging economies—to convert innovation and technology into sustainable competitive advantages.

This study's findings address each of the three research questions by establishing a clear connection between innovation, technology, and global competitiveness. First, the analysis demonstrates that the adoption of technology is instrumental in enhancing the processes and outcomes of product innovation. Technologies such as AI, cloud computing, and digital collaboration platforms enable firms to improve efficiency, responsiveness, and product quality, but their effectiveness is contingent on organizational adaptability and institutional support. Second, product innovation has been confirmed as a key driver of global competitiveness. Firms that consistently innovate not only gain market share and build brand differentiation but also adapt more rapidly to global trends and consumer demands. These firms utilize innovation not merely for differentiation but also for cost optimization and value creation, reinforcing their position in competitive markets.

Third, the findings highlight the potential of emerging economies—specifically Indonesia—to leverage innovation and technology for national competitiveness. However, this potential is constrained by systemic weaknesses including fragmented institutional coordination, limited R&D investment, and human capital deficits. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated policy efforts, strategic investments in education and infrastructure, and active participation in international innovation ecosystems. This reinforces the importance of a comprehensive national innovation system that integrates public and private sector roles in fostering innovation-led growth.

Theoretically, the study contributes by integrating the Innovation Systems Approach, the Dynamic Capabilities Framework, and Schumpeterian Competition Theory into a unified model. This integrative framework advances existing scholarship by demonstrating how product innovation and technology interact with firm capabilities and institutional environments to shape global

competitiveness. It also offers a contextual perspective, emphasizing that innovation dynamics in emerging economies differ significantly from those in advanced industrialized nations.

Practically, the study offers actionable insights for policymakers, business leaders, and development institutions. Policymakers are encouraged to streamline innovation policies, ensure cross-ministerial collaboration, and allocate sustained investment toward R&D and technical education. Businesses, especially SMEs, should prioritize internal capability development and seek strategic partnerships to overcome resource constraints. For international organizations, supporting innovation ecosystem development through targeted programs and capacity-building initiatives can facilitate inclusive growth in developing regions.

CONCLUSION

This study has explored the intricate relationship between product innovation, the use of technology, and global market competition, with a particular emphasis on emerging economies such as Indonesia. Through a qualitative synthesis of literature, institutional data, and theoretical frameworks, the research confirms that technology adoption significantly enhances product innovation when supported by adaptable organizational structures and conducive institutional environments. Product innovation, in turn, serves as a key engine for achieving and sustaining global competitiveness, enabling firms to navigate volatile markets, differentiate offerings, and respond rapidly to consumer needs.

The findings reaffirm the alignment between the theoretical foundations—namely the Innovation Systems Approach, Dynamic Capabilities Framework, and Schumpeterian Competition—and the empirical realities of global economic dynamics. These frameworks collectively explain how innovation and technology, when strategically combined, become powerful levers for economic transformation. The study's original contribution lies in proposing a triadic model that connects innovation processes, technological capabilities, and competitiveness outcomes in a cohesive structure tailored for emerging economies.

Drawing from the findings, several recommendations are proposed. Businesses should invest in internal innovation capabilities and digital transformation while fostering a culture of experimentation. Policymakers must design integrated, sector-specific innovation policies supported by robust R&D investment and cross-sectoral collaboration. International institutions should facilitate technology transfer and provide innovation financing for capacity-building. Future research should extend this model using comparative case studies and explore the long-term effects of innovation ecosystems on sustainable development goals.

REFERENCES

Aghion, P., Bloom, N., Blundell, R., Griffith, R., & Howitt, P. (2005). Competition and innovation: An inverted-U relationship. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 120(2), 701–728. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/120.2.701

BAPPENAS. (2020). Indonesia's long-term development plan 2020–2045. Ministry of National Development Planning.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

BPS–Statistics Indonesia. (2020). Indonesia Digital Economy 2020 Report.

https://www.bps.go.id

Chesbrough, H. (2014). Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology. Harvard Business Press.

Chesbrough, H. (2020). To recover faster from COVID-19, open up: Managerial implications from an open innovation perspective. Industrial Marketing Management, 88, 410–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.04.010

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Phillips, N. (2013). The Oxford handbook of innovation management. Oxford University Press.

Dodgson, M., Gann, D., & Salter, A. (2008). The management of technological innovation: Strategy and practice (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? Strategic Management Journal, 21(10–11), 1105–1121. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E

Fagerberg, J., & Verspagen, B. (2018). Innovation studies: Evolution and future challenges. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 34(3), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/gry001

Freeman, C. (1995). The 'National System of Innovation' in historical perspective. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 19(1), 5–24.

Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2017). Strategic management: Competitiveness and globalization (12th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Kemenperin. (2019). Making Indonesia 4.0 Roadmap. Ministry of Industry of the Republic of Indonesia.

Lee, H., & Lim, C. (2015). Impact of ICT adoption on innovation and productivity in Asian firms. Asian Economic Journal, 29(3), 241–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/asej.12053

Lundvall, B.-Å. (2010). National systems of innovation: Toward a theory of innovation and interactive learning (2nd ed.). Anthem Press.

Mazzucato, M. (2018). The entrepreneurial state: Debunking public vs. private sector myths. Penguin.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Nelson, R. R., & Winter, S. G. (1982). An evolutionary theory of economic change. Harvard University Press.

OECD. (2021). OECD Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2021: Times of crisis and opportunity. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/75f79015-en

Pisano, G. P. (2015). You need an innovation strategy. Harvard Business Review, 93(6), 44–54.

Pisano, G. P. (2017). Creative construction: The DNA of sustained innovation. PublicAffairs.

Porter, M. E. (2008). Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance (Rev. ed.). Free Press.

Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2015). How smart, connected products are transforming companies. Harvard Business Review, 93(10), 96–114.

Rohmah, N. (2019). Evaluasi sistem inovasi nasional di Indonesia. Jurnal Manajemen Teknologi, 18(3), 201–215. https://doi.org/10.12695/jmt.2019.18.3.1

Schilling, M. A. (2020). Strategic management of technological innovation (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Schumpeter, J. A. (1942). Capitalism, socialism, and democracy. Harper & Brothers.

Schwab, K. (2020). The Global Competitiveness Report Special Edition 2020: How countries are performing on the road to recovery. World Economic Forum.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509–533. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z

Tidd, J., & Bessant, J. (2018). Managing innovation: Integrating technological, market and organizational change (6th ed.). Wiley.

Trott, P. (2017). Innovation management and new product development (6th ed.). Pearson.

West, J., & Bogers, M. (2017). Open innovation: Current status and research opportunities. Innovation, 19(1), 43–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2016.1258995

World Bank. (2020). Indonesia's research and innovation ecosystem: Challenges and opportunities for policy. World Bank Publications. https://doi.org/10.1596/34076